



Bordertales

The Official publication of the Border Collie Club NSW, Inc

APRIL 2008



Best of Breed & Group 3rd

Gr Ch. Nahrof Collectors Choice
Owned by Rob & Toni Daly

COMMITTEE 2007-08

President	Lauren Somers	0247748018	lauren@lib.com.au
Vice President	Rosemary Keniry	0412067941	keniry@bigpond.com
Secretary	Ann Moy	0247541937	secretary@bccnsw.com
Ass. Secretary	Judy De Jong	0298763926	judydj@gmail.com
Treasurer	Brett Farrell	0249380010	brett@benshiva.com
Show Manager	Vacant		
Show Secretary	Lynn Cook		
Trial Secretary	Alice Baxter		
Trophy Manager	Colleen Finch	0246211595	colleenfinch@aapt.net.au
Obedience Trial Mgr	Julie Cole	0245797263	julie80@ozemail.com.au
Agility Trial Mgr	Vacant		
Publicity Officer	Tiffany Wheatley	024683 0303	danari@bigpond.com
General Committee	Ann Lenehan	Lynne Cook	
	Julia Lawrie	Bette Miller	
Puppy Sales Coordinator		Margaret Rockey 12 Cranstons Rd Dural NSW 2158. 9651-2424 puppysales@bccnsw.com	
BC Rescue		J Gray 0242671757 jag@uow.edu.au	

All Correspondence to:

The Secretary
The Border Collie Club NSW Inc
59 Birdswood Ave
Winmalee NSW 2777
secretary@bccnsw.com

MEETINGS

- **General Meetings** are held the **FIRST TUESDAY** of every **even** month.
- **Next General Meeting:** **3rd June 2008** at 7.30 pm, Amenities Building, Canine Complex Erskine Park. The Club welcomes ALL members and their guests.
- **Committee Meetings** are held alternate months.

News, views & opinions expressed in this newsletter are those of the correspondents and not those necessarily of those of the club, it's committee and publicity officer.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

Welcome to the April edition of Bordertales.....again this month there are 'official' matters requiring your attention and response - please ensure that you read the contents of your newsletter and have your say! The ANKC meeting of national breed councils will be held in Sydney in late June and our council will be represented at this meeting by the NSW delegate Brett Farrell. Judy de Jong and the BCC of Victoria's delegate Marilyn Adams are also planning to attend. There will be a number of issues under review and the club's position on these is determined by input from our members.

Congratulations to all those who enjoyed success at the recently held Sydney Royal show and our own club show/trial. Further details on the results will appear in this and the May issue of the newsletter. Special congratulations to our Editor, Tiffany Wheatley (and the rest of Team Danari!) on their success at the Border Show - you had a great day - well done! I would also like to pass on my thanks to the committee and membership who pitched in and helped with many chores on show day and made sure that this was another successful event for the club. I was not able to attend but I certainly appreciate the effort you all put in! The club also received support and donations for this show from many individuals, kennels and companies - it was nice to see some different names appear on the Donations list in the catalogue this time - we even had support from overseas - Royal Kennels, USA - thank you to all for your interest and generosity!

Julie Cole has been trying to organise a team from the club for competition in the interclub obedience event.....if you are interested in participating in this event, please get in touch with Julie on 45797263 or contact our Secretary Ann Moy.

You may recall some time ago, we featured a very sad story about a young girl suffering from Battens Disease and the club participated in the fund raising for this family with a donation to their appeal and to Battens Research. We have been contacted recently by the foundation and are pleased to advise that the club's funds will again be used to support this cause. The benefits our members and the breed enjoy because of the availability of DNA testing was made possible in part by donations we initially received from this organisation so it is great that we are now able to return the favour.

Until next month.....enjoy your dogs!!

Cheers
Lauren Somers
President

RAFFLE RESULTS

Congratulations to Robyn Herbert and Dianne Harris on buying the winning ticket in the club's raffle conducted at the October Show/Trial. Thanks also to Lynne Cook for organising the beautiful basket of 'goodies' and to Colleen and others for their contributions to the contents!

Around the Traps

The **2008 Sydney Royal** has been run and won and this year we had a return to the old format after last year's experiment with new classes, which apparently were not particularly well received by the majority of exhibitors. Mr S Kimura (Japan) judged the borders on Wednesday 26th and attracted a good entry of 100. He chose his Best of Breed and Challenge Dog Gr Ch Nahrof Collectors Choice (Daly) for the second year running. RUBOB and Bitch Challenge went to Richens Japanese Import, Ch and Jap Ch. Dazzling Bluestar JP A Dreamy Girl with the reserves going to Ch. Nahrof More than Words HIC (Somers) and Ch. Syryne Vega O Lyrae (Fossey). Best Puppy went to Cowin's Bordalace Flash n Dash. Full results, later in this edition.

Three days later, the club's **March Specialty and Trial** took place with Mrs Maureen Kay (Trumagik) in charge of Breed and local Mr P Phelps presiding over the Property classes. Its encouraging to see with numbers dropping in other states, that NSW has again managed to maintain their breed entry well up over 100 with the entry on the day being 123, lets hope this trend continues. After a long and unseasonably hot day, Mrs Kay chose her Challenge Bitch as Best in Show, Gr Ch. Danari Dance Away (Wheatley) with R/Up BIS going to the Challenge Dog winner, Ch. Etherial Chase The Ace PT (Goulding). Reserve Dog went to Ch Finealta Rhythm N Blues owned by Sandra Brennan and Reserve Bitch to Ch. Danari Datewith Destiny (Wheatley). Full results and critiques will be published when they come to hand.

Til next month
Ed

Our Cover ..

Gr Ch Nahrof Collectors Choice

"Strider" made it two in a row at the 2008 Sydney Royal - following last year's success with another Best of Breed and another Group placementthis time he was awarded Group 3rd and Best Australian Breed in Show!!.. It was certainly a combined effort this year - Melissa Dean handled him for dog challenge, Lauren showed him for Best of Breed but was not able to attend General Specials so his owner Toni Daly made the trip to Sydney to show him in Group. Our thanks and congratulations to all!

Nahrof Border Collies

TREASURER'S REPORT

BORDER COLLIE CLUB OF NSW (INC)

TREASURERS REPORT AS AT APRIL 1, 2008

BALANCE BROUGHT FORWARD AS AT 1 st MAR 2008	\$ 2,245.22
INCOME	
MEMBERSHIP	
PUPPY ADVERTISING	40.00
SHOW ENTRIES	2,404.00
MISC - SHOW DONATIONS	255.00
MISC- CATALOGUE AD	50.00
MISC- DVD SALES	125.00
	2874.00
EXPENSES	
PUPPY SALES	50.00
BORDERTALES	302.24
MISC EXP – ROYAL TROPHIES	120.00
MISC EXP – DVD PURCHASES	950.00
SHOW – TROPHIES	1,496.00
SHOW – JUDGES FEES	110.00
SHOW – CATERING	336.00
SHOW – OCT SCHEDULE	258.50
SHOW – CATALOGUE	336.60
SHOW MISC – MARQUEE	380.00
	4339.34
ACCOUNT BALANCE	779.88
HIGH INTEREST ACCOUNT	
OPENING BALANCE	10,817.25
NETT TRANSFERS	0
INTEREST ACCRUED	63.18
CLOSING BALANCE	10880.43
TOTAL ALL FUNDS AS AT 31 MARCH 2008	\$ 11,660.31
DISEASE FUNDS HELD IN GENERAL ACCOUNT	\$2,723.98

MINUTES

MINUTES OF THE GENERAL MEETING – 8th APRIL 2008

Venue: Bill Spilstead Complex, Erskine Park

Date: 8 April, 2008

Meeting opened: 7.45 pm by Lauren Somers

* Attendance as per book and apologies as per book.

Moved: Ann Moy Seconded: Colleen Finch Carried

Minutes of the previous General Meeting to be checked.

* That the minutes of the previous general meeting held on Tuesday 19 February, 2008, be accepted as a true and accurate record.

Moved: Lauren Somers Seconded: Julia Lawrie Carried

REPORTS:

President's Report: As tabled.

Secretary's Report: As tabled.

(Incoming and Outgoing Correspondence including emails, publications and new members)

Treasurer's Report: As tabled.

Puppy Sales Co-ordinator's Report: To be submitted.

Show Manager's Report: As tabled.

Show Secretary's Report: As tabled

National Breed Council Report: Next meeting to be held on 28 June, 2008

National Show Committee Report: Not applicable

Obedience Trial Manager: To be submitted

Agility Trial Manager: To be submitted

Tracking Manager: Not available, as tracking not yet commenced for 2008.

Hereditary Diseases Committee Report: Nil

Publicity Officer's Report: As submitted

Trophy Steward's Report: As tabled.

Business arising from Reports:

Trophy Steward's Report:-

New supplier did not deliver the quality expected and the club will be seeking a discounted price due to the poor ribbon quality. Veterans sashes to be posted. Gifts were okay for judges and workers. Club will be going back to previous ribbon supplier.

* That all reports be received:

Moved: Julia Lawrie Seconded: Brett Farrell Carried

NEW MEMBERS

Leanne Davis,
Patrice & Courtney Smith,
Teresa. Kernaghan,
Vicki Etherington,
J Stewart
D. Schmelitschek,

Moved: Ann Moy Seconded: Rosemary Keniry Carried

SHOW BUISNESS:

Title notifications will in future be sent to the Secretary with a list to go in Bordertales prior to the October Show (i.e. August Bordertales) The list will be checked at the September committee meeting.

Feedback on the running of the show on the day was positive. Walkie talkies were invaluable in liaising with conformation, obedience and agility rings.

GENERAL BUSINESS:

Delegates for National Breed Council meeting – the club has already nominated two delegates for the National Breed Council Conference and they are Brett Farrell and Judy de Jong. Voting results on the motions for inclusion on the Conference agenda are:

Motion 1

Victoria, NSW, Tasmania, Queensland and Southern District Border Clubs were for the motion. The motion will be put forward.

Motion 2

Victoria – against.

NSW, Tasmania, Queensland and Southern Districts Border Clubs were for the motion therefore the motion will be put forward.

Motion 3

Victoria, Queensland & Southern Districts – against.

N.S.W. and Tasmania were for the motion therefore motion 3 will not be put forward.

NBCC Report

Motions for voting will be reprinted in Bordertales prior to 2008 AGM.

Meeting Closed at:

9.50 pm

ATTENTION EXHIBITORS

We have tried a new ribbon manufacturer for the March Show and Trial and were very disappointed with the workmanship and the quality of the ribbons and sashes. It was not until presentation when we took them out of the box that we found many were faulty. If you would like to have your ribbon replaced could you please mail it to :

Colleen Finch
11 Desdemona Street
Rosemeadow NSW 2560

Please note this was for faulty ribbons that were not sewn together properly. There is unfortunately nothing we can do about the fringe.

I apologise for this but it was decided to try another company, and we were not expecting this kind of service.

Colleen Finch
Trophy Officer

Titles Gained

At a recent meeting it was decided to alter the way in which the club recognises and congratulates members on successfully obtaining a title with their dogs - either breed or performance. Over the years we have used medallions and then wooden plaques with engraved plates...however, this has proved difficult to manage and the production and postage costs have proved increasingly expensive to fund. Upon notification by members of their title, the club will now provide a 'congratulations' sash and laminated certificate. These will be presented at the club's October show/trial or if not collected, posted out following this event.

The club will ensure that any unpresented medallions and plaques from last year will be provided to members in the near future.

BOUQUETS TO YOU....

The club appreciated the support we received on show day (and prior) not only from the 'usual suspects' (aka the committee!) but also from other members and we would like to offer our thanks for your support - we really did appreciate it!! If I have forgotten anyone....please accept my apologies and consider yourself 'thanked'!!

Paul and Gail Revell, Errol Badior, Julie Cole, Alice Baxter and family, Dot Luker, Gail Ingersol, Judy de Jong, Tania Piercy, Melissa Dean, Phil Wheatley, Rose Keniry and her nephew James, Lynne Cook, Colleen Finch and last but not least.... Brett Farrell and Ann Moy.

NBCC ITEMS

MOTIONS AGM 2008

Please return to The Secretary by 21st May 2008

Submitted by NSW Border Collie Club

Motion 1.

That the constitution of the NBCC be changed as soon as possible so that motions put forward can be distributed by the state clubs for members to vote on directly to the NBCC with one vote per person not one vote per club.

Rationale:

The current system means that some people are placing multiple votes if they are members of more than one club and many people are not able to vote at all if they cannot get to the meetings of clubs that do not allow voting by mail. If 50 people vote by mail in one state they only get the same say in a matter as 6 people at a meeting in another state. This unfair voting system seems to be the reason for much of the apathy by members in general towards the NBCC.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 2.

That the coat colour section of the breed standard be changed to:

The basic body colours are black, blue, chocolate, lilac or red.

All coat colours will usually have white markings and may also have tan (tri-colour) points.

There is no preferred amount of white except that white must not predominate.

Red is only a coat colour and may occur with any of the other four pigment colours.

Merle, sable or shaded sable coat patterns are also possible on any pigment colour.

Rationale:

All the listed colours have appeared throughout the breed for many years and are genetically possible. Until the ANKC decided, without consultation to breed clubs, to make colour a disqualification from the main register, all Border Collies could be main registered as their correct colour. Throughout the breed's history as a show dog in Australia colours have been added to standard as they have been exhibited despite not previously being listed as a recognised colour.

Blue is a dilution of Black and Lilac is a dilution of Chocolate so it is only logical to include Lilac in the standard if Blue & Chocolate are considered acceptable. If Tri-colour tan points are allowed on black dogs they should also be allowed on the other colours as well. These are simple genetic facts. The colour we now call Red (yellow/gold) originated in Australia and until the colour Red was included in the standard, most Reds were registered as Sable. We now have no way of knowing which dogs in the past were in fact Sable or Red as they can appear to be the same colour even though they are genetically different. Sables usually have a small amount of darker shading overlay behind the ears and at the base of the tail, but not always. Also occurring in Australia have been Shaded Sable which are red with a dark overlay of the pigment colour and result when a puppy inherits Sable from one parent and Tri from the other. The tri markings are visible on Shaded Sables in the first few weeks.

Despite the fact that Sable & Shaded Sable have occurred sporadically in Australia for many years and are quite common overseas they are still not recognized in the standard but Red has been.

Blue Merle (which in fact is a black pigmented dog) was most recently added when Merles were imported into Australia but the ANKC made no allowance for tan or even white markings on them in the standard. Merle again is a coat pattern like sable and can occur on any colour but as the first imports have been Blue Merles it is the only colour that the ANKC thought to include.

With the new ANKC colour restrictions, through necessity some State Canine bodies are advising breeders to register non-listed colours as the nearest listed colour if they need them to be on the main register. This will cause confusion for years to come as the colours on pedigrees will not be accurate. The disqualification of animals from the main register based purely on colour means that we are in the ridiculous situation where we can

main register an undersize, blue eyed, prick eared, smooth coated, cowhocked dog with undescended testicles so long as it is a listed colour but cannot main register a non listed colour with outstanding conformation. It just doesn't make sense and will only lead to shrinking of our already tight gene pool and the emergence of more genetic problems. The correct registration of colour is important to all breeders, whether they like coloured Borders, don't care one way or the other, or wish to stick to the more common Black/ White. Many breeders do not aim to breed colour but take the attitude that a good dog is never a bad colour. Knowing exactly who is producing which colours is important to those who wish to breed colours but is probably even more important to those wishing to avoid them.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 3.:

That the nose colour section of the breed standard be changed to:

The nose colour will indicate the basic pigment colour of the dog ie: black, blue(slate), chocolate(liver), lilac(fawn). The nose colour will match the coat colour except were the dog is red, merle or sable the nose may be any of the four pigment colours.

The nose will be fully pigmented except in merles where a small unpigmented pink area is permissible.

Rationale:

The current standard on nose colour does not make sense grammatically or genetically. The current standard states that the nose colour will complement the background colour of the dog. Complementary colours are actually opposites (eg; red and green) so this wording makes no sense at all. If it was meant to mean that the nose colour matches the coat that is also wrong as Red dogs do not have red noses

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 4.:

That the eye colour in the standard be amended to read.

The eye colour should harmonise with the pigment (nose) colour of the dog.

Mid to Dark brown in dogs with black pigment.

Mid to Light brown in dogs with Chocolate pigment.

Mid to light Hazel/brown in dogs with blue or lilac pigment.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 5.

That the eye colour also include:

Merles may have one or both eyes blue, part blue or marbled.

FOR [] AGAINST []

OR

Motion 6.

That the eye colour include:

One or both eyes may also be blue, part blue, or marbled. (In any coloured dog)

Rationale:

The current standard does not even mention that the eye colour is generally brown and states "harmonizing with the coat colour but darker colour preferred". Again this doesn't make sense as a black dog cannot have darker than black eyes and reds do not have red eyes. In Chocolates it is almost impossible to get an eye darker than the coat colour.

Blue eyes for merles have been added but it does not specify one, both, part or marble as it should. Also consider the fact that now we have merles in the standard with the allowance of blue eyes for them do we want to allow blue eyes in the other colours as well or not?

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 7.:

That no litter registration restrictions apply in regard to colour unless a national breed survey is conducted by the ANKC and the majority of owners vote in favour of those restrictions.

Rationale:

The ANKC are not supposed to apply any litter registration restrictions without a national breed survey first being completed. They have, without consultation to the NBCC or breeders/owners, imposed a restriction on merle / merle matings in Border Collies but not in any other breed that has merle in their standard. Not all

puppies from a merle / merle mating will be homozygous merles and while most breeders would not choose to do this mating, accidental matings can occur and any resulting healthy puppies should be eligible for registration.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 8:

That the registration of Red / white Border Collies include in brackets the pigment colour of the dog if it is not black. Therefore Reds would be registered as Red/ White only if black pigmented or otherwise as:Red(blue)/white, Red(chocolate)/white or Red(lilac)/ white.

Rationale:

The specification of pigment colour will allow for breeders to more accurately track colour through pedigrees whether they are looking to include or eliminate it from their lines.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 9:

To enable a distinction to be made between black and blue pigmented Merles.

Black Merle be used to describe black pigmented Merles and Blue Merle be used to describe blue pigmented Merles

FOR [] AGAINST []

OR

Motion 10:

To enable a distinction to be made between black and blue pigmented Merles. Blue Merle be used to describe black pigmented Merles and Slate Merle be used to describe blue pigmented Merles.

Rationale:

Other breeds where Merle occurs are described as Blue Merle for black pigmented dogs or Red Merle for brown (chocolate) pigmented dogs. These breeds do not also have the dilution colours of Blue and Lilac or the coat colour Red (yellow) in their standards as the Border Collie does. We need to work out how to avoid confusion with these other colours for consistency in describing Merles and so indicate their true pigment colour.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 11:

The Australian breed standard for the Border Collie be amended to include all basic colours of merle and white in the description of colour.

Rationale:

The merle gene acts on the eumelanin pigment causing various grades of colour dilution on the basic colours occurring naturally in Border Collies through out the world. In Australia merle frequently occurs in blue, chocolate or tri-colour border collies, as these are colours described in the Australian standard the expression of merle on the acceptable colour should not be discriminated against.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 12:

The colour tri-colour in the Australian breed standard for the Border Collie be amended from black, tan and white to include all basic body colours with tan and white.

Rationale: Tri-colour is one of the basic colours occurring naturally in Border Collies through out the world. Any solid colour can exhibit the tan point pattern. The tri-colour gene in the Australian Border collie gene pool is often expressed on blue and chocolate dogs and on merles. ANKC policy discriminates against dogs of these colours downgrading them to limit registration despite many having attributes valuable to the gene pool. Tri-colour dogs of all basic colours described in the Australian breed standard deserve to be eligible for main registration. Gene testing is now available to identify the true colour of dogs and it is important that pedigrees are accurate to ensure the integrity of ANKC registration. While ankc policy limits main registration to dogs of the colours presently described in the standard breeders are forced to place emphasis on breeding for standard colour rather than type and ability.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 13.

The Australian breed standard for the Border Collie be amended to include lilac and white in the description of colour.

Rationale: Lilac is a dilution of the colour brown (chocolate) as is blue a dilution of black. As the dilution gene occurs naturally in border collies through out the world. The incidence of lilac in the Australian Border Collie gene pool is often disguised by owners mis-interpreting the true colour of a dog sometimes mistaking it for chocolate or blue. It is important that pedigrees are accurate to ensure the integrity of ANKC registration.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 14.:

The Australian breed standard for the Border Collie be amended to include sable and white in the description of colour.

Rationale: Sable is one of the basic colours occurring naturally in Border Collies through out the world. The incidence of sable in the Australian Border Collie gene pool is often disguised by owners mis-interpreting the true colour of a dog sometimes mistaking it for chocolate, red or tri-colour. It is important that pedigrees are accurate to ensure the integrity of ANKC registration.

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 15.

The ANKC conduct and implement the attached breed survey amongst all owners of registered Border Collies, to determine the colours and patterns that are included in the breed standard, according to registered owners preference.

Rationale: The ANKC conducting a breed survey amongst all owners of registered Border Collies, overcomes the problem in having individuals attend meetings, or send postal votes to local breed clubs. Not all states and territories have breed clubs that represent the Border Collie breed, nor are all registered owners of Border Collies members of breed clubs. However, registered owners of Border Collies are members of the local canine body, and by this membership are entitled to vote on the breed standard. An ANKC breed survey conducted Australia wide gives all registered owners of Border Collies equal opportunity to vote on the breed standard.

Please indicate by a circle your vote

Yes – I do want an ANKC breed survey conducted

No – I do not want an ANKC breed survey conducted

****Proposed breed survey to be distributed to registered owners of Border Collies****

INSTRUCTIONS TO COMPLETE SURVEY

Circle the letter/s of your preference in colour and patterns that should be included in the breed standard.

A. All colours and patterns included in the breed standard as listed below, white should never predominate

Note: if you circle A, DO NOT circle any further options, as A covers all colour and pattern combinations that naturally occur in the breed

PRIMARY BODY COLOUR

SOLID OR BI COLOUR

B. Black and White

C. Blue and White

D. Chocolate and White

E. Lilac and White

F. Red and White

PATTERNS

TRI COLOUR – SOLID OR BI COLOUR WITH WHITE MARKINGS AND TAN POINTS

G. Black Tricolour

H. Blue Tricolour

I. Chocolate Tricolour

J. Lilac Tricolour

K. Red Tricolour

MERLE – SOLID BODY COLOUR WITH MERLE PATTERN, WHITE MARKINGS AND/OR TAN POINTS

L. Blue Merle

M. Chocolate Merle

N. Lilac Merle

O. Red Merle

TRI/MERLE COMBINATION

P. Blue Tricolour Merle

Q. Chocolate Tricolour Merle

R. Lilac Tricolour Merle

SABLE – DARK TIPPED COAT OVER BACKGROUND COLOUR

S. Blue Sable

T. Chocolate Sable

U. Lilac Sable

V. Red Sable

FOR [] AGAINST []

Motion 16.

To ensure that all breeders adhere to responsible breeding guidelines the NBCC request that the ANKC conduct a breed survey of all registered owners of Border Collies asking the following questions. Each to voted on separately.

Rationale: DNA tests are now available to determine the genotype (Normal, Carrier or Affected) of Border Collies for the two fatal conditions Neronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis (CL), & Trapped Neutrophil Syndrome (TNS) and also the usually non-fatal eye affliction, Collie Eye Anomaly (CEA). These three conditions are all inherited as autosomal recessive traits and in each case, both parents have to be Carriers or Affected to produce affected offspring. Most concerned breeders are already testing breeding stock to ensure that no more Border Collies will ever be born affected by CL, TNS or CEA.

There is absolutely no reason to eliminate any animals carrying any of the three conditions from the gene pool, now or in the future. The whole point of using these tests is to preserve all bloodlines while ensuring puppies are not affected by these conditions. Preservation of a diverse gene pool is imperative to avoid increasing the risk of other genetic problems occurring within the breed. We now have the means to completely control CL, TNS and CEA and prevent any puppies being affected by them. On the other hand, we have no control over other health problems that may possibly have a genetic cause and are known to occur sporadically within the breed.

Narrowing the gene pool will increase the incidence of conditions including epilepsy, PDA heart defects, thyroid problems, undescended testicles, glaucoma, HD, OCD and various auto immune disorders that have been identified but are not yet considered "breed problems". Not to mention the genetic problems we don't even know about yet.

One of the reasons we have so many TNS carriers in the breed now is the fact that CL carriers had to be avoided by for 20 years before the DNA test was developed as this was our only means of reducing the incidence of CL. This narrowed the gene pool to the state we now have with so many Border Collies descended from just a few animals who were believed to be Normal for CL. These same animals we now know were in fact Normal for CL but they were also carrying TNS.

The TNS gene goes back to the origins of the breed as it occurs in ISDS lines as well as show lines but the avoidance of CL carriers has greatly increased the number of TNS carriers.

Genotype for each condition may be determined by DNA testing or by parentage. All progeny from a DNA Normal to Normal mating have to be DNA normal. To be declared Normal by parentage for any of the three conditions, the DNA genotype Normal of the parents will have to be recorded on the ANKC database and this facility will be available from 1.1.08. Official results submitted to the database will only be accepted were the DNA sample sent for testing is from a microchipped animal and was taken and identified by an authorised collector (eg: vet, breed club official). Further DNA testing to determine parentage will not be required but breeders are reminded that a litter registration application is a legal document.

DNA testing for CL & CEA first became available in 2005 but not all dogs were microchipped at this time. Many Border Collies including some that have stored frozen semen have DNA test results for CL & TNS from samples

submitted before microchipping was routine. To allow for the use of these animals or semen without "official" results we have set the date requiring official results to be for all animals born after 1.1.05.

To ensure that all breeders adhere to responsible breeding guidelines the NBCC request that the ANKC conduct a breed survey of all registered owners of Border Collies asking the following questions. Each to be voted on separately.

1. That for litters born from 1.1.09 the following litter registration restrictions apply in relation to CL.
 - At least one parent of every litter must be DNA Normal for CL by testing or by parentage.
 - No CL DNA Affected animals are to be bred from.
 - Acceptable matings for CL are DNA Normal/Normal or Normal/Carrier where both parents are born after 1.1.05.
 - Animals born before 1.1.05 need not have official test results provided they are only bred to a DNA normal partner. This will allow for the use of older animals that may not have been microchipped when samples were taken for testing and the indefinite use of valuable frozen semen that has been stored to protect the future of the breed.
2. That for litters born from 1.1.09 the following litter registration restrictions apply in relation to TNS.
 - At least one parent of every litter must be Normal for TNS by testing or by parentage.
 - No TNS DNA Affected animals are to be bred from.
 - Acceptable matings for TNS are DNA Normal/Normal or Normal/Carrier where both parents are born after 1.1.05.
 - Animals born before 1.1.05 need not have official test results provided they are only bred to a DNA normal partner. This will allow for the use of older animals that may not have been microchipped when samples were taken for testing and the indefinite use of valuable frozen semen that has been stored to protect the future of the breed.
3. That for litters born from 1.1.09 the following litter registration restrictions apply in relation to CEA.
 - At least one parent of every litter must be Normal for CEA by testing or by parentage.
 - No CEA DNA Affected animals are to be bred from.
 - Acceptable matings for CEA are DNA Normal/Normal or Normal/Carrier where both parents are born after 1.1.05.
 - Animals born before 1.1.05 need not have official test results provided they are only bred to a DNA normal partner. This will allow for the use of older animals that may not have been microchipped when samples were taken for testing and the indefinite use of valuable frozen semen that has been stored to protect the future of the breed.

FOR []

AGAINST []

Motion 17.

That the NBCC request that any Border Collies which have been affected by the decision to limit register non standard colours be able to be up-graded to main register at the request of the breeder, as the standard does not state colour as a disqualifying fault.

Rationale: There are no disqualifying faults stated in the Border Collie breed standard therefore a variation of standard colour/s is not a disqualifying fault. A dog which is a non-standard colour is no less worthy of inclusion on the main register than one which has a level bite, almond eyes, hare-feet or large ears. The Australian breed standard states any departure from descriptions in the standard to be considered a fault and the seriousness with which the fault should be regarded, be in exact proportion to its degree, no mention is made of disqualification. Dogs with uneven wear from level bites attain Australian Champion titles and are used for breeding, yet dogs with sound bites of non-standard colours are discriminated against. ANKC limit registration policy regarding non-standard colours fails to acknowledge the importance to some members of performance sport aptitude in the breeding programs. There is semen in storage in Australia from International Obedience Champions which are not recognized colours in the breed, the usefulness would be lost if their non-standard colour progeny could not be used in future breeding programs.

Many international standards conflict with our standards in Australia in regard to colours; to restrict breeding to dogs of standard colours reduces the potential for genetic diversity and improvement of the breed. It also causes breeders to place more emphasis on breeding for standard colours than correct breed type, soundness of temperament and working instinct. Because of current ANKC policy regarding the downgrading of non-standard coloured dogs when being imported we may have a scenario where a best in show at Crufts or Westminster is limit registered never to be upgraded when entering Australia if the dog were to leave Australia no Export Pedigree would be issued. It is also unacceptable that non-standard coloured dogs which are to be exported to a country which recognizes a colour which the ANKC does not, can not be registered on main register to enable them to be registered in the country to which they are exported. An Australian Obedience or Tracking champion which was limit registered can not receive an export pedigree even if it is desexed.

FOR []

AGAINST []

MOTION 18. (submitted by BCC of Victoria)

That the NBCC reintroduce quarterly reports as per Inaugural Annual Meeting 16th November 2002, i.e. Reports to State Member Clubs:- Secretary to send a brief quarterly report to each State Member Club on the progress of the NBCC and all Sub committees.

Rationale: To keep all member clubs up-to-date.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 19. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

That the colour description of “blue merle” in the border collie breed standard be amended to “blue merle and white”.

Rationale: The present description of the colour “blue merle” in the border collie breed standard is deficient as it fails to include dogs with typical which markings which are characteristic of the breed.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 20. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

That a moratorium be placed on the Border Collie Breed Standard for a period on ten (10) years, to enable any proposals to alter the standard to be scientifically investigated as to their merit to the breed.

Rationale: From time to time individuals, or special interest groups, make application to have the Border Collie Breed Standard altered to suit their biased view of how the breed ought to look. Often those mentioned will cobble together unrelated information, and occasionally facts, to bolster their argument stating unsupported scientific information that they have gleaned from papers published by persons that have no standing in the scientific community.

The above notice of motion needs to be in place to prevent our standard from being bastardised, until such time that any proposed alteration has been investigated to ensure that sound scientific research, and not some whim or fancy, is forced on to the main stream breeders, who may well be into years of line breeding following the present standard.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 21. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

That the colours of the Blue Merle be defined, that is to read; “Blue merle; predominantly clear, silvery blue, splashed and marbled with black. Rich tan markings preferred, but their absence not counted as a fault. Large black markings, slate or rusty tinge on the top or undercoat are high undesirable”.

Rationale: The above motion is an attempt to clarify just what is required of the standard in relation to the colour “blue merle”. At present time it is difficult to train judges to understand what is required of this colour. Our cousin breeds, the Rough Coat and Smooth Collie, have a very exacting definition of what is expected, and is as stated in their standards. W would be remiss if we didn’t attempt to better define our Standard.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 22. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

White markings, to be added to the standard; “White markings; all colours may carry the typical white Border Collie markings to a greater or lesser degree, but their absence not counted as a fault. White collar, full or part. White shirt. White feet and legs, length on legs may be uneven and is not to be faulted. White tail tip. White blaze on muzzle or skull or both.

Undesirable white markings; all or part of head (clown faced), white body patch, more than 1/3rd of tail white. Root of hair of white collar not to extend past the point of withers”.

Rationale: We have in the past considered designating exactly where we would like to see the white markings, and the amount of white permissible on each individual dog. Discussion on this subject has been the topic of often hot debate over the years. However it is time, now, that we have a National Border Collie Breed Council, that we bite the bullet and put something into the standard that clearly gives guidance to those that would judge our breed. The above motion is a carefully considered and crafted attempt to put something into the standard that will assist both judges and breeders.

Suggestion; if motion 20 is passed, items 21 & 22 are to be added to the ANKC Border Collie Breed Standard extension.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 23. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

That the Constitution of the National Border Collie Council be amended to include the provision to conduct the AGM by telephone conference.

Rationale: Physical meetings of National bodies can be expensive and finding an available date on which all delegates can attend is sometimes difficult. Other National Breed Councils conduct successful AGM's by telephone hook-up (usually taking less than two hours) which is much more economical than delegates incurring travel and accommodation expenses and the NBCC incurring expenses for use of a venue.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 24. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

The NBCC liaise with international border collie clubs with a view to standardizing the global description of colours in the border collie breed.

Rationale: Border collie enthusiasts from many countries communicate with each other on a regular basis, genetics are a common topic of conversation. There is no uniformity in the description of colours internationally, red in particular has very different genotype in some countries. People researching breeders on the internet with a view to purchasing a puppy often are confused about colours due to international inconsistencies. The NBCC Constitution states "Objectives 2.1.6 – to become associated with overseas border collie organizations with objectives kindred to the objectives of the NBCC. Powers 3.1.3 – Correspond with and become associated with overseas border collie organisations, for the purpose of the exchange of view points on matters affecting the welfare of the border collie".

If the NBCC were to survey the description of colours in border collies internationally it could be used as a basis to create global uniformity. The NBCC Objectives state 2.1.7 "to collect, verify, publish, circulate and otherwise make use of statistical information relating to the border collie.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 25. (submitted by BCC of Tasmania)

That the NBCC conduct a survey to establish if owners and breeders of border collies in Australia wish to have any colours other than those currently described in the breed standard included or any of the currently included colours deleted from the standard. The survey would need to list each variation of naturally occurring colour individually to achieve consensus.

Rationale: Many border collie owners would prefer to have colours which occur regularly in the breed but are not included in the current breed standard accepted for main registration, however others have bias against a particular colour so vote against motions proposed to include all naturally occurring colours in the breed standard. On the other hand some owners believe the only colour that should be allowed is black and white, suggesting that the tendency for blue border collies to be affected by colour dilution alopecia is reason for that colour to be excluded from the standard. A questionnaire may be the basis for resolution of some of these issues.

The limit registration of non-standard colours is causing dissent within the border collie community. ANKC limit registration polity regarding non-standard colours fail to acknowledge the importance to some members of performance sport aptitude in their breeding programs.

Many international standards conflict with our standard in Australia in regard to colours; to restrict breeding to dogs of standard colours reduces the potential for genetic diversity and improvement of the breed. It also causes breeders to place more emphasis on breeding for standard colours than correct breed type, soundness of temperament and working instinct. There is semen in storage in Australia from International Obedience Champions which are not recognized colours in their breeds, the usefulness would be lost if the non-standard colour progeny could not be used in future breeding programs.

Because of current ANKC policy regarding the downgrading on non-standard coloured dogs when being imported we may have a scenario where a best in show at Crufts or Westminster is limit registered never to be up-graded when entering Australia. No other country has such a policy. It is also contrary than non-standard coloured dogs which are to be exported to a country which recognizes a colour which the ANKC does not can not be registered on main register to enable them to registered in the country to which they are exported.

The NBCC Objectives state: "2.1.7 to collect, verify, publish, circulate and otherwise make use of statistical information relating to the border collie". References – NBCC Constitution 5.4.1 "Member clubs may bring any business before a meeting of the NBCC by giving ninety (90) days notice of its intention to the Secretary.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 26. (submitted by the BCC of Tasmania)

That the NBCC approach the AVA to investigate the potential for comprehensive full-eye testing (standard ACES eye assessment) of border collies by AVA members at no cost to the owners of the

dogs thus establishing statistics on the incidence and nature of eye disease in the border collie breed and the necessity (and recommended frequency) to routine test.

Rationale: ACES is gathering momentum in the purebreed dog world. There are DNA tests available to aid ethical breeders to predict matings which will not produce puppies affected by CEA/CH. The incidence of eye disease other than CEA/CH has not been fully documented (testing 5% of the population is not a true guide). The AVA members are likely to receive considerable income from compulsory testing of ANKC breeds, it is reasonable to request that they aid in research to determine the need for routine eye testing in the border collie breed.

FOR [] AGAINST []

MOTION 27. 1) Topic: Recognition of International Sheep Dog Society (ISDS) registered Border Collies in Australia

Background: International Sheep Dog Society (ISDS)

"In 1873 the first recorded sheep dog trials were held in Bala, North Wales, but it was not until 33 years later that the International Sheep Dog Society (ISDS) was formed, following a meeting of English and Scottish sheepmen in 1906. Shortly afterwards the first International Trials were held in Gullane, Scotland and, except during the war years, have continued to be an annual event.

Now, almost a hundred years later, the ISDS has over 5,000 members from all over the world - and not just from the obvious sheep concentrations such as Australia and New Zealand, but also from the Americas, South Africa, The Falklands, Scandinavia, the Middle East and the continent of Europe, to say nothing of a growing interest in Japan! Each year sees quite a few of our overseas members in the U.K. as spectators at our National and International Trials. They come, not only to see the finest dogs in competition and to learn from their handlers, but also to assess the dogs' ability for their own requirements 'back home' - wherever that may be - and then seek to buy the dogs, or more specifically their progeny. The U.K. is very much the 'kennel of the world' as far as the working sheep dog is concerned.

A Purpose

The ISDS was founded with the intention of stimulating interest in the shepherd and his calling and to secure the better management of stock by improving the shepherd's dog. This is still the intention today, for without a good working dog the work of the shepherd, both on the hills and the lowlands would be impossible. One good dog can do the work of a dozen men, as the shepherd is the first to testify. Indeed British agriculture owes a tremendous debt to these hard working collies and all too often, perhaps, their efforts and praise remain unsung - but they are never under-estimated! So the constant improvement of these dogs is a prime requirement.

To help to facilitate this, in 1955, the Society produced its first Stud Book in which pedigrees had been researched back to the early 1900s, commencing with the famous Old Maid. Today, the pedigrees of over 6500 puppies continue to be registered each year and in 2000 the 250,000th dog was registered. Records are compiled under the direction of Mr Norman Lorton, who took over as Chief Executive and Keeper of the Stud Book in 2001. The Stud Book of the ISDS is the principal work of reference concerning such pedigrees in the world. It is therefore invaluable for those serious breeders who continue to seek excellence in the working sheep dog, conscious of the part that pedigrees play in enhancing the skills of the breeder and assisting him to produce better and better dogs. "

Source: ISDS

web site 24/2/06

Rationale:

- a) Our current Australian Border Collies originated from imported ISDS registered dogs.
- b) The ANKC therefore recognised these ISDS dogs and issued ANKC registration to their offspring.
- c) Many countries Kennel Clubs recognise ISDS registration and grant their own equivalent registration when these dogs are imported. ISDS dogs continue to enter the ANKC by way of registration with other Kennel Clubs from around the world when these dogs are imported into Australia.
- d) We are unable to find when and why the ANKC ceased to recognise the ISDS registration. It may be that for some time no one applied for recognition of ISDS dogs and with changes to technology and staff this may have been overlooked.
- e) Being able to utilize the ISDS dogs within Australia would provide an increase to the genetic diversity available.
- f) The Border Collie is regarded as the world's premier herding dog and members would again like to utilise the attributes offered by ISDS registered dogs.
- g) We do not expect there to be a high demand due to the costs associated with importation and so on. ISDS dogs have been imported into Australia and New Zealand from the earliest times and there continues to be a small flow of these dogs into Australia. However these dogs are currently unavailable to be utilized by ANKC breeders.

h) National Breed Council agenda items need to be submitted to the ANKC by 29th May to be considered at their next meeting.

The following motion to be considered when appropriate notification to member clubs and timeframes have been met.

Motion: The NBCC write to the ANKC requesting that ISDS registered dogs be recognised in Australia and granted ANKC registration.

In Favour [] Against []

Obedience Point Score

Purpose of Competition

To encourage the handlers and dogs to achieve a consistent high standard in the obedience area, and be rewarded with recognition for their time and efforts

Format of Competition

Each class has its own point score, i.e. Novice, Open and Utility. Handlers are required to submit the following;

1. Qualifying scores (total of three) for the desired class
2. The qualifying scores must be achieved by the handler working his own dog.
3. The 3 scores must be achieved by the same dog.
4. Only 1 score card may be submitted under a particular judge. In the event of multiple exhibits owned/worked by the same handler each dog is required in their total of 3 scores to have achieved these under 3 different judges.
5. Competitors must be financial members of the BCC of NSW Inc prior to the date of the earliest calendar dated qualifying score submitted, and must remain financial members of the BCC of NSW Inc during the running of the competition.
6. The twelve month qualifying period will commence from 1 September 2007 and cease on 31 August 2008.
7. In the event of a tied point score, the exhibitors will be asked to submit a further qualifying card until a winner is determined.
9. Photocopies of the qualifying cards must be sent to the **Secretary, Border Collie Club of NSW by 14 September 2008.**
10. A summary of the aggregates for each class will be published in the next available Bordertales and awards made as soon as possible.
11. The decision of the Committee is final, and no correspondence will be entered into.
12. Failure of the competitor to fulfil all the criteria listed above will render their entry null and void.
13. This competition does not require an entry fee.

The 2007/2008 competition is now underway with the qualifying period being 1 September 2007 to 31 August 2008. Competition rules will be published at frequent intervals in Bordertales.

2008 SYDNEY ROYAL - PERFORMANCE



HIGHEST SCORING BORDER COLLIE - OBEDIENCE

Glenloy Bonnie Baillie UD
Owned by D & J Turley



HIGHEST SCORING BORDER COLLIE - AGILITY

Danari Datewith An Idol JD GD
Owned by G Pryce

2008 SYDNEY ROYAL - BREED RESULTS

MR S KIMURA – JAPAN

CLASS: 3 Puppy Dog

Breed - 1 2113 MISS A J COWIN - YAGOONA NSW 2199
BORDALACE FLASH N DASH (2100249798)

Breed - 2 2070 RUSSELL & LESLIE - CURRIMUNDI QLD 4551
TRUCHARM ON THE RUN (4100145386)

Breed - 3 2064 MRS W ATKINS - INGLESIDE NSW 2101
DYKINTA NETWORKING (2100255301)

Breed - 4 2071 MS C RYAN - REVESBY NSW 2212
NEECABE BRAVEHEART (2100254151)

Puppy of Day - Winner 2113 MISS A J COWIN - YAGOONA NSW 2199
BORDALACE FLASH N DASH (2100249798)

CLASS: 3A Puppy Bitch

Breed - 1 2115 MISS SUSAN MORRIS - ENGADINE NSW 2233
AULDBRIG LILLIE LANGTREE (2100247779)

Breed - 2 2118 RUSSELL & QUINTO - CURRIMUNDI QLD 4551
QUINORA MAGIC MOMENTS (2100251868)

Breed - 3 2110 MRS L Quinto -
QUINORA TRULY MADLY DEEPLY (2100251867)

Breed - 4 2114 MS S L HANNIGAN - DOUGLAS PARK NSW 2569
NEECABE MURRONS LOVE (2100254155)

CLASS: 4 Junior Dog

Breed - 1 2081 MR P & MRS T WHEATLEY - PICTON NSW 2571
DANARI DESTINED TO THRILL (2100240316)

Breed - 2 2080 L E SOMERS & E J BADIOR - BRINGELLY NSW 2556
NAHROF TURN THE PAGE (2100249063)

Breed - 3 2075 FARRELL & SOMERS & BADIOR - MT VINCENT NSW 2323
NAHROF TANGLED WEB (2100249064)

Breed - 4 2079 MRS M RALPH - PICNIC POINT NSW 2213
WIRALEE TOTAL CONTROL (2100246638)

CLASS: 4A Junior Bitch

Breed - 1 2128 MRS M RALPH - PICNIC POINT NSW 2213
WIRALEE TABLES TURNED (2100246641)

Breed - 2 2121 MRS T BAILEY - MACGREGOR ACT 2615
TEHYA DESTINY ALL OVER (9100006830)

Breed - 3 2124 MS S L HANNIGAN - DOUGLAS PARK NSW 2569

NEECABE STAR UPONA WISH (2100242953)

Breed - 4 2125 MS J L HEYWARD - BRIGHTON QLD 4017
SCAYSBROOK ALL THAT JAZZ (2100246962)

CLASS: 5 Intermediate Dog

Breed - 1 2093 L E SOMERS & E J BADIOR - BRINGELLY NSW 2556
CH. NAHROF MORE THAN WORDS HIT (2100214269)

Breed - 2 2089 MS S FOSSEY - YELLOW ROCK NSW 2777
SYRYNE ALTAIR O AQUILAE (2100226260)

Breed - 3 2084 MRS JENNIFER M BAYLISS - GULGONG NSW 2852
LIBERTYBROOK COLD CHISEL (2100218589)

Breed - 4 2087 MISS A J COWIN - YAGOONA NSW 2199
BORDALACE EYE SPY (2100217534)

Breed - 5 2095 MRS G & S STEPHENSON - GRETA NSW 2334
TOJESS TOO TO TANGO (2100229265)

Challenge 2093 L E SOMERS & E J BADIOR - BRINGELLY NSW 2556
- **Runner** CH. NAHROF MORE THAN WORDS HIT (2100214269)
Up

CLASS: 5A Intermediate Bitch

Breed - 1 2137 MS S FOSSEY - YELLOW ROCK NSW 2777
CH. SYRYNE VEGA O LYRAE PT (2100226258)

Breed - 2 2139 D I HARRIS & R A HERBERT - WALLACIA NSW 2745
FEARMA LADY IGRAINE HIT (2100210876)

Breed - 3 2136 A J COWIN & B FOWLER - GRINDELWALD TAS 7277
BORDATAZ HEAVENLY MONEY (71000167560)

Breed - 4 2142 MS DANA SHELMERDINE - KOORAINGHAT NSW 2430
CH. TOTACHI FANTASIA (2100213078)

Breed - 5 2133 P G & T A BUCKLEY & R ATTARD - AGNES BANKS NSW 2753
KONAVAN MA BELLE AMIE (2100224972)

Challenge 2137 MS S FOSSEY - YELLOW ROCK NSW 2777
- **Runner** CH. SYRYNE VEGA O LYRAE PT (2100226258)
Up

CLASS: 11 Open Dog

Best of 2100 MRS T DALY - DUBBO NSW 2830

Breed - GR. CH. NAHROF COLLECTORS CHIOCE (2100144286)
Winner

Breed - 1 2100 MRS T DALY - DUBBO NSW 2830
GR. CH. NAHROF COLLECTORS CHIOCE (2100144286)

Breed - 2 2107 MRS A SMITH - NORTH MACLEAN QLD 4280
GR. CH. FAMEWYNN FORTUNE HUNTER (4100062129)

Breed - 3 2098 A J COWIN & D & J CRANWELL - OAKVILLE NSW 2765
CH BORDALACE LOCH LADDIE (2100018721)

Breed - 4 2102 MR HANNIGAN & SOMERS & BADIOR - DOUGLAS PARK NSW 2569
GR. CH. NAHROF ENOUGH SAID (2100174074)

Challenge 2100 MRS T DALY - DUBBO NSW 2830
- **Winner** GR. CH. NAHROF COLLECTORS CHIOCE (2100144286)

CLASS: 11A Open Bitch

Best of 2160 MR G B RICHENS - LAWNTON QLD 4501
Breed - JAP. CH. & CH. DAZZLING BLUESTAR JP A DREAMY GIRL (IMP. JAP.)
Runner (BCL-00577/04)
Up

Breed - 1 2160 MR G B RICHENS - LAWNTON QLD 4501
JAP. CH. & CH. DAZZLING BLUESTAR JP A DREAMY GIRL (IMP. JAP.)
(BCL-00577/04)

Breed - 2 2162 MR P & MRS T WHEATLEY - PICTON NSW 2571
CH. DANARI DATEWITH DESTINY (2100192116)

Breed - 3 2158 MRS D LISK & MR P & MRS T WHEATLEY - BARGO NSW 2574
CH. DANARI DANCETH NITEAWAY (2100201350)

Breed - 4 2148 MR T & MRS J BALDWIN - GILGAI NSW 2360
GR. CH. YARRANCOLY INCITE A RIOT (2100109743)

Breed - 5 2147 MR T & MRS J BALDWIN - GILGAI NSW 2360
CH. YARRANCOLY INSATIABLE (2100139594)

Challenge 2160 MR G B RICHENS - LAWNTON QLD 4501
- **Winner** JAP. CH. & CH. DAZZLING BLUESTAR JP A DREAMY GIRL (IMP. JAP.)
(BCL-00577/04)

PUPPY SALES REPORT- APRIL

Errol Badior / Lauren Somers 'Nahrof'
Ph 9484 2524

Sire : Ch/Jap.Ch Nahrof The Talisman
Dam: Ch Nahrof Savanah
Whelped 29.12.07
2M 1F all B/W
Puppies all sold

Margaret Morgan 'Kellagem'
Ph 4883 4365
Sire : Danari Destined to Thrill
Dam Ch Danari Diamond Rose
Whelped 25.3.08
8 M all B/W

Margaret Rockey 'Dalheath'
Ph 9651 4168 M 0419 279107
Sire Ch Taerlin The Power O One
Dam Texenpark Foreva Lethal
Whelped 10.3.08
1 M 5F all B/W

Shannon Cross 'Shanoss'
Ph 9457 9162 M 0411 541667
Sire: Ch Maghera Morse Code CD
Dam Ch Shanoss My Secret Wish CDX ET
4M 4F all B/W

Show Results

NSW

Adelong Show 13-2-08

Judge: Ms C Stuart

Challenge dog: Ch Caoracu Spellmaster/BOB/13/Open in group/Keniry
Reserve dog: Korella Krystal Reward/Mathew
Challenge bitch: Ch Torobeam Black Magic/8/RUBOB/Mathew
Reserve bitch: Ch Caoracu Minstrels Melody HT/Keniry

Orange Show Society Inc.12-4-08

Judge : Mrs P Scales (NSW)

Challenge Dog: CH Monochrome Artic Fire,BOB,(11+4=15) J Bayliss.
Reserve Dog: Libertybrook Cold Chisel, RuBOB, J Bayliss.
Challenge Bitch: Libertybrook Fallen Ange/9/l, J Bayliss.
Reserve Bitch : Keyonne Delta Parvonis, K&Y Williams.

Hawkesbury District Agriculture Association – 11-4-08

Judge: Mr B Daley (NSW) Group & Mrs S Crozier (NSW) General Specials

Challenge Dog: Syryne Altair O Aquilae HIC17pts BOB Inter in Group/In Show Fossey
Reserve Dog: Ch Nahrof More than Words HIC RUBOB Somer/Badior
Challenge Bitch: Ch Syryne Vega O Lyrae PT Fossey
Reserve Bitch: Dykinta Rave On Hannigan
Other Awards: Neecabe Murrons Love Puppy in Group Hannigan

The Bay City Kennel Club 5-4-08

AM Judge: Mr R Besoff

Challenge Dog: Bordalace Flash N Dash/13/BOB/ RUP BIG/JUNIOR IN GROUP/Cowin
Reserve: Gr Ch Nahrof Enough Said/RUBOB/Hannigan.Somers.Badior
Challenge Bitch: Bordataz Angelic Star/10/Cowin TITLE GAINED.
FIRST CHAMPION FOR BRENDA FOWLER & BORDATAZ
Reserve: Bordataz Hevenly Money/Cowin.Fowler

The Bay City Kennel Club 5-4-08

PM Judge: Mr D Thorpe

Challenge Dog: Gr Ch Nahrof Enough Said/13/BOB/Hannigan.Somers.Badior
Reserve: Ch Bordalace Loch Laddie/Cowin.Cranwell
Challenge Bitch: Bordataz Hevenly Money/10/Cowin.Fowler
Reserve: Ch Bordataz Angelic Star/Cowin

VIC

Ovens Valley Canine Club 6-4-2008

Judge: Mr J Birch (NSW) Gen. Specials: Mrs S Rickard (VIC)

Challenge Dog: Ch Borderfame King Ohearts/ RUBOB/ 8/AustBred in Group/ Khayoz/Borderfame
Reserve Dog: Luuaca Soul Redeemed/ Puppy in Group/ McMaster
Challenge Bitch: Ch Luuaca Bella Donna/ BOB/ 14/ McMaster
Reserve Bitch: Khayoz Highly Blessed/ Khayoz Knls

QLD

Bowen Kennel Club 6-4-08

Judge: Mrs J McErlane (NZ)

Challenge Dog: Ch Kayani Outa The Blue /11/BOB/RUBIG/Cant
Reserve Dog: Winpara A Kinda Magik/RUBOB/Puglisi
Challenge Bitch: Trumagik Black Betty/8/Puglisi
Reserve Bitch: Kayani Kiss This/Cant
Other: Ch Kayani Seein Stars/Open in Group/Cant

Redcliffe Peninsula Kennel Club 6-4-08

Judge: Mr T Horchner (Vic) S/S Judge: Mrs L Harwood (Vic)

Challenge Dog: Ch Trucharm Read All About It /BOB/BIG/BEST IN SHOW/Inter in Show/ QLD
Dog of the Year Qualifier/Leslie
Reserve Dog: Trucharm On The Run/Russell.Leslie
Challenge Bitch: Tullacrest Born to Be A Star/Brauers/RUBOB/11
Reserve Bitch: Elkana Dr Crusher HT/Wong
Other Awards: Showioz Breakn Th Rules/Ashdowne/4th Puppy Sweepstakes

WA

Building & Amenities

Judge Mr A Beattie (WA)

Challenge Dog: Amer Ch Sporting Fields Call Forth Borderfame/25/BOB/BIG/Inter In
Group/Borderfame Kennels
Reserve Dog: Grd Ch Borderfame Mr Magic/RUBOB/Borderfame Kennels
Challenge Bitch: Ch Borderfame Moon Dancer AD JDX/7/Borderfame Kennels
Reserve Bitch: Borderfame Power Of Love/Borderfame Kennels